Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,375	IGVAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared J. Fureman	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).	(3)	•
(2) Mr. George M. Macdonald (39,284).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2005	Time: <u>5:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 16		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Janes & Fireman		
JARED J. FUREMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired as to whether "number", in the last line of claim 16, should be replaced with --data-- or --identifier--. Mr. Macdonald indicated that "number" should be replaced with --identifier-- and authorized an examiner's amendment to make the change. The examiner's amendment was necessary in order to clarify claim 16, thereby removing any possible claim objection and/or rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph.